

# VIRTUAL HEALTH CAREER PREPARATION

## JUDGE'S RATING SHEET

Section # \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Competitor # \_\_\_\_\_ Division: MS \_\_\_\_\_

Items Evaluated						
<p><b>A. No partial points are given in Section</b></p> <p>All items MUST be completed to receive 30 points.</p> <p>If any portion is missing, Section A is scored a 0.</p> <p>For more information on the all/none points, please visit:  <a href="http://www.hosa.org/judge">http://www.hosa.org/judge</a></p>	<p><b>Points for following Guidelines:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Statement of Interest and Question Responses video link submitted via Wufoo by the established deadline.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Statement of Interest is submitted in English</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Speech does not exceed 4 minutes.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>All or nothing: 30 points</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>0 points</b></p>					
<b>B. Statement of Interest</b>	<b>Excellent 10 points</b>	<b>Good 8 points</b>	<b>Average 6 points</b>	<b>Fair 4 points</b>	<b>Poor 2 points</b>	<b>JUDGE SCORE</b>
<b>1. Organization</b>	The Statement of Interest includes an excellent introduction, body and conclusion paragraphs. It flows smoothly and is very organized. It stands out above others.	Most of the organization is appropriate, but there are a few small changes that could be made to make the Statement of Interest better to the viewer.	Some of the organization is correct, but there are a couple major problems that are rather distracting to the viewer.	An attempt was made to organize the Statement of Interest, but there are still significant problems that distract the viewer from reading it from top to bottom.	The Statement of Interest has little to no organization, which could mean inconsistent fonts, no separation of paragraphs, or improper ordering of topics.	
<b>2. Content</b> Content of the Statement of Interest should include:	The Statement of Interest includes excellent and thoughtful answers to all 4 questions asked of the competitor per guidelines listed left. It makes for a great introduction and the judges feel like they have a real sense of who the competitor is.	Quality answers for 3 of the 4 questions is included in the Statement of Interest.	Quality answers for 2 of the 4 questions is included in the Statement of Interest.	Quality answers for 1 of 4 of the questions is included in the Statement of Interest.	The Statement of Interest does not answer any of the 4 questions.	
	<b>Excellent 5 points</b>	<b>Good 4 points</b>	<b>Average 3 points</b>	<b>Fair 2 points</b>	<b>Poor 1 point</b>	<b>JUDGE SCORE</b>
<b>3. Neatness, spelling, grammar</b>	There are no spelling or grammatical errors throughout the entire Statement of Interest	There are 1-2 minor misspellings or grammatical errors that will be easy to fix to make it appeal to the viewer.	There are 3-4 spelling or grammatical errors in the Statement of Interest.	There are 5-6 spelling or grammatical errors present in the Statement of Interest	There are 7 or more spelling or grammatical errors in the Statement of Interest.	

C. Question Responses	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 2 points	JUDGE SCORE
<b>1. Introduction/first impression</b>	Greeting is excellent. Great first impression!	Greeting is good, but didn't stand out amongst competition.	Greeting is appropriate, conversation is appropriate.	Average, presentation is not engaging.	Poor first impression.	
<b>2. Content of Question Responses</b> Judges are looking for presentations with the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Candidate shows willingness to volunteer information</li> <li>• Presentation is informative regarding future goals.</li> <li>• Sounds professional in choice of vocabulary and descriptions.</li> </ul>	The competitor showcased each of the three criteria in their question responses with confidence. The presentation left the judges with a very clear picture of the competitor's career goals, aspirations, and plans for the future.	The presentation was honest, thoughtful and thorough using professional language and tone, but content lacked the wow factor to make the competitor stand out from others. The competitor covers 2-3 of the presentation criteria.	The presentation does not provide a clear picture of their career goals.  The competitor covers 2 of the presentation criteria.	The presentation was thoughtful, but the presentation was underwhelming.  Covers 1 or fewer of presentation criteria.	The presentation, didn't elaborate on the criteria.  Covers none of the presentation criteria.	
<b>3. Confidence, maturity, enthusiasm</b>	The competitor exhibited great confidence throughout their presentation. There is a genuine excitement about healthcare and HOSA and they conducted themselves with maturity. They would make a great future health professional!	The competitor presented with confidence. They were excited to share information about their future career of interest.	The competitor exhibited some level of confidence in his/her presentation ability but seemed a little nervous. Next time they will do incredible!	The competitor appeared to be nervous and anxious during the presentation. It was evident they were excited; they just need more practice with presentations.	The competitor's nerves got the best of them. They were not able to showcase their best work in the presentation. They should keep trying!	
<b>4. Closing</b>	The competitor maintained composure throughout the presentation, thanked the judges.	NA	The competitor remained professional throughout the presentation.	NA	The competitor did not maintain composure throughout the presentation & forgot to thank the judges at the end.	
D. General Characteristics	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 2 points	JUDGE SCORE
<b>1. *Diction and **articulation</b>	The competitor speaks clearly, enunciates words. Clear, crisp speech which is easy to hear and understand.	The competitor enunciates most words clearly and is easily understood.	The competitor speaks clearly, minimal instances when they mumble or do not enunciate their words.	The competitor mumbles some of the time and speaks at a low volume. The interviewer must ask the candidate to repeat themselves.	The competitor mumbles, speaks softly, and is hard to hear. Interviewer is unable to hear or understand all or part of the responses to the interview questions.	
<b>2. Eye contact, poise and posture</b>	The competitor displays comfortable eye contact, displays confidence in their demeanor; sits up straight throughout presentation.	The competitor makes eye contact most of the time, sits up straight and conducts themselves with confidence.	The competitor displays some eye contact but looks down or to the side during the presentation.	The competitor makes limited eye contact and does not display good posture.	The competitor does not make eye contact with the judges. They slouch during the presentation.	
<b>Total Points (115):</b>						

\* Definition of Diction – Choice of words especially with regard to correctness, clearness, and effectiveness.

\*\* Definition of Pronunciation – Act or manner of uttering officially.